

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,382		07/03/2003	Marc Roman Humann	P/3453-11	7213	
2352	7590	02/04/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
		BER GERB & SO	BOTTORFF, CHRISTOPHER			
		THE AMERICAS 100368403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			3618		
				DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/613,382	HUMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher Bottorff	3618				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE : - External effer - If the - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	ılv 2003.					
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		· -				
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] (	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .	_	atent Application (PTO-152)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on July 3, 2003 was considered by the examiner.

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the separation between the support part and the remaining region of the lever, as defined in claim 5, must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The expression "or the like", recited on line 3 of claim 1, is indefinite. As a result, the limits of the structure that facilitates the sliding of the binding part on the rail cannot be determined.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 4

Claims 1 and 6, as it depends from claim 1, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Desbiolles et al. US 4,817,981.

Desbiolles et al. disclose a ski binding part and an arrangement for the lengthwise adjustment of the ski binding part arranged on a rail 5. See Figure 1 and column 9, lines 17-22. The rail 5 is fixed to the ski, so as to allow the binding part to slide in the lengthwise direction of the ski by means of a base-plate 4. See column 9, lines 27-29. A locking part 8 is mounted on the base-plate 4. See Figure 3. The locking part 8 is subject to the action of at least one spring 14 and can be locked on the rail 5 in different positions. See column 11, lines 14-14; column 12, lines 49-64; and column 11, lines 63-68. The locking part 8 is actively connected to an actuation lever 12. See Figures 4-6. The actuation lever 12 is arranged so as to rotate on the base-plate 4 and the locking part 8 is lockable on the rail 5 in the lengthwise direction of the ski by means of this actuation lever 12. See Figures 4-6. Also, the actuation lever 12 is pressed against the rail 5 while being pretensioned in the locked position of the locking part 8. See Figure 6.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 6, as it depends from claim 2, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Desbiolles et al. US 4,817,981 in view of Bardin et al. US 6,050,591.

Desbiolles et al. do not disclose that the actuation lever has an actuation appendage engaging with the locking part. However, Bardin et al. teach the desirability of providing an actuation lever 6 with an actuation appendage engaged with a locking part 3 while under the effect of a spring 5 that acts on the locking part 3. See Figure 1. From the teachings of Bardin et al., providing the actuation lever of Desbiolles et al. with an actuation appendage that engages with the locking part while under the effect of the spring would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. This would facilitate the efficient movement of the locking part and would enhance the reliability of locking part actuation by reducing dependence on intermediary components.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4, 5, and 6, as claim 6 depends from claims 3, 4, and 5, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The support parts taught by the prior art are not deformable as defined in claim 3. This feature, in combination with the further limitations of the claims, distinguishes the claimed invention over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,382

Art Unit: 3618

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Weigl et al. US 3,989,274, Weigl et al. US 4,022,493, Stritzel et

al., Fritschi et al., Dasarmaux et al., Bardin et al. US 5,794,962, Lehner et al., Mercier,

and Keller et al. disclose devices for the lengthwise adjustment of ski bindings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christopher Bottorff whose telephone number is (703)

308-2183. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Bottorff

Chutch Botton

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERIOSORY DATEST CHAMINER

y Elle

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 8900